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Introduction: Atrial fibrillation remains one of the major causes of stroke, heart failure, sudden death, and cardiovascular morbidity in the world. Its incidence increases with age and the presence of structural heart disease.

Materials and methods: The retrospective analysis was performed on 127 patients over a period of three years (73 men, median age 62, range 37-75, and 54 women, median age 60, range 42-78) duration of atrial fibrillation 48 hours or less.

Results: Efficiency of amiodarone i/v converting atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm detected in 56 of 69 patients (81.2%). Efficiency of propafenone i/v converting atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm detected in 26 of 33 patients (78.8%). Efficiency of electrical cardioversion was pronounced in 24 of 25 patients (96.0%). (p<0.001 compared to both amiodarone and propafenone). In case if atrial fibrillation duration is less than 8h propafenone is more effective and for atrial fibrillation with duration over 24h more effective is amiodarone. Efficacy of amiodarone and propafenone in converting atrial fibrillation paroxysm to sinus rhythm was almost the same. The effect of amiodarone was slightly superior that of propafenon.

Conclusion: Amiodarone, propafenone, and electrical cardioversion all three options provide physicians with effective tools to restore sinus rhythm in patients with atrial fibrillation. Atrial fibrillation duration should be taken into account more often to choose an appropriate treatment in emergency department.

Materials and Methods

The retrospective analysis was performed on 127 patients (73 men, median age 62, range 37-75, and 54 women, median age 60, range 42-78) (Table 1). duration of atrial fibrillation 48 hours or less (averaged 23.5±0.8 h). The patients were divided into three groups – I group of patients were treated by amiodarone i/v (69 patients -54.3%), II group of patients were treated by propafenon i/v (33 patients -26.0%), and for III group electrical cardioversion was applied (25 patients -19.7%). Since the beginning of therapy was repeated ECG registration with measurement of the duration of the complexes and intervals. Measurement of blood pressure and pulse was performed during each hour till conversion of atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm or within 24 hours. Exclusion Criteria was atrial fibrillation duration of greater than 48 hours, taking antiarrhythmic drugs in the last 24 hours, thyroid disease, patients with heart failure classifications NYHA Class III-IV, congenital or acquired QT elongation syndrome, acute MI or unstable angina [4].
Table 1: Age and gender distribution, (n=127)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ranges (years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>14 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>19 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>17 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>29 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>48 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>73 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>54 (43%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results and Discussion

Efficiency of amiodarone i/v converting atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm detected in 56 of 69 patients (81.2%). The average time from taking amiodarone till recovery of sinus rhythm was 6.5±1.4 hour, the average dose of amiodarone i/v 800±200 mg. (p=0.03 compared to propafenone). Efficiency of propafenone i/v converting atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm detected in 26 of 33 patients (78.8%). The average time from taking propafenone till recovery of sinus rhythm was 2.9±1.2 hours, the average dose of propafenone i/v 90±22 mg. (p=0.01 compared to amiodarone). Efficiency of electrical cardioversion was pronounced in 24 of 25 patients (96.0%). (p<0.001 compared to both amiodarone and propafenone). In case if atrial fibrillation duration is less than 8h propafenone is more effective (Figure 1) and for atrial fibrillation with duration over 24h more effective is amiodarone (Figure 2). Efficacy of amiodarone and propafenone in converting atrial fibrillation paroxysm to sinus rhythm was almost the same (Figure 3) [3, 15]. The effect of amiodarone was slightly higher than that of propafenone [10]. Electrical cardioversion in atrial fibrillation paroxysm is an effective with a high success rate [5, 9, 12]. Corresponded to the population mean values in the treatment of paroxysms of atrial fibrillation [1, 7, 14].

Discussion

The efficacy of amiodarone was 81.2%, propafenone 78.8%, and electrical cardioversion a high 96.0%. It should be noted that the effect of amiodarone was slightly higher than that of propafenone, but the difference between them was not significant. Both drugs can be considered acceptable options for the treatment of atrial fibrillation to restore sinus rhythm [3, 10]. Very interesting was the finding that propafenone had a faster sinus rhythm recovery time (2.9±1.2 hours) compared to amiodarone (6.5±1.4 hours) (Figure 4). This allows us to consider propafenone as a faster-acting drug. In addition, it is worth noting that propafenone was more effective in cases where the duration of atrial fibrillation was less than 8 hours, while amiodarone was more effective when the duration of atrial fibrillation was more than 24 hours [3, 5, 10]. This highlights the importance of taking into account the duration of an atrial fibrillation episode when choosing the optimal treatment.
Electrical cardioversion, in turn, has shown high efficiency in converting atrial fibrillation paroxysms into sinus rhythm. With results showing a success rate of 96.0%, electrical cardioversion has been shown to be reliable and has a high success rate [5, 12]. However, it should be remembered that this method requires special equipment, more detailed preparation of the patient for sedation and qualified personnel.

Based on the results of the study, we draw attention to the importance of taking into account the duration of atrial fibrillation when choosing a method of treatment in emergency care. Clinicians should be aware that for short episodes of atrial fibrillation, propafenone may be the preferred option, while amiodarone may be more effective for long episodes. At the same time, electrical cardioversion remains an effective method in the treatment of atrial fibrillation paroxysms and can be considered as the optimal choice in certain cases [13].

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our study helps to better understand the effectiveness of various medications and treatments for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in the emergency room setting. Amiodarone, propafenone, and electrical cardioversion all three options provide physicians with effective tools to restore sinus rhythm in patients with atrial fibrillation. However, the choice of method should be consistent with the duration of the atrial fibrillation episode, as well as the availability and experience of medical personnel. We hope that this study will provide useful guidance to clinicians making decisions about the management of patients with atrial fibrillation in the emergency setting. Atrial fibrillation duration should be taken into account more often to choose an appropriate treatment in emergency department.
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